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#### Abstract

Two field experiments were conducted at the experimental Farm of Etay ElBaroud, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. These trials included forty lines sown in $F_{6}$ (in season, 2009/10) and $F_{7}$ generation (in seasons, 2010/11). The objective of this investigation is to compare these lines through two generations with the two commercial varieties, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 for straw, seed, oil yields and their related traits. These materials were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications at the two previous seasons.

Mean squares due to lines were significant for straw weight, seed weight and their components as well as for technological traits, fiber percentage and oil percentage in both seasons. Phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficient of variability and broad sense heritability ( $\mathrm{H} \%$ ), the slight discrepancy between PCV and GCV for straw weight components (plant height, technical stem length and fiber percentage) and also for seed weight components (oil percentage and 1000-seed weight) were reflected in the high heritability estimates in both seasons for these traits, indicated the possibility of using these yield component traits in selection index technique to achieve further improvement both straw and seed weights by selection for these components.

Concerning mean Performance, out of forty flax lines, five lines, (No. 18, 20, 34, 35, and No. 40) were superior for each seed, oil, straw and fiber yields/fed. Therefore, these five lines may be considered good substitutes for the low yielding ones, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 in future after evaluation in more locations and years before releasing as a new Egyptian flax cultivar for both straw and seed yields production (as a dual purpose type).

Straw weight per plant was significantly positively correlated with each of plant height, technical stem length, number of capsules per plant and 1000 -seed weight in both seasons. Also, plant height exhibited positive correlation with technical stem length in both seasons, indicating that maximization of straw weight per plant may be obtained by selection for these component variables specially plant height and technical stem length. Seed weight per plant, exhibited positive association with oil percentage in both seasons. Whereas, number of capsules per plant was highly positive correlation with 1000 -seed weight, indicating the possibility of selection for a genotype as dual purpose type which had high seed weight and high straw components (plant height and technical stem length).
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## INTRODUCTION

Flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) is one of the oldest crops grown for the production of either fibers (fiber flax type) in Europe or oil (linseed type) in Asian countries. However, in Egypt and other countries flax is cultivated for the production of both fibers and oil. Different varieties are now available for single purpose i.e. fiber or oil and both for fiber and oil (dual purpose). The
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flax breeding program at Fiber Crops Department, ARC, Egypt, strives to boost straw yield and seed yield as well as technological traits. Therefore, it is necessary to release new promising flax lines that surpass quantitatively and qualitatively the commercial varieties. As suggested by Burton (1952) and Johnson et al, (1955), genetic variability together with heritability and genetic advance estimates would provide the best feature of the amount of the gain to be expected from selection. Also, Miller and Rawlings (1967) stated that realizing substantial genetic advance through selection for different yield component, needs sufficient genetic variability. Dudley and Moll (1969) reported that using estimates of heritability and genetic variances in breeding program may increase efficiency through optimization of available resources of the most fruitful parental combinations. The relationships among yield and yield component are complex because the components are greatly influenced by heritable and non-heritable effects as well as their interaction. It is therefore important to estimate correlation coefficient among yield and its attributes. Kumar and Chauhan (1982) found that 1000 -seed weight and seeds per capsule may be considered simultaneous characters for selection between flax varieties. Frank and Hollosi (1985) recorded that 1000-seed weight and seeds per capsule have high heritability estimates and were suitable for use as selection principle for seed yield. Mourad (1983) and Abo El-Zahab et al, (1994) found that the maximization of seed yield may be obtained via selection for its two main components, number of capsules per plant and 1000-seed weight, while, Abo-Kaied (2003) and Zahana and AboKaied (2007) found that the maximization of straw yield may be obtained by selection for plant height and technical stem length.

The purpose of the present investigation was to evaluate 40 lines of flax derived from four crosses in F6 and F7 generations for phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variability and heritability for straw, seed yields and their components in addition to technological traits as well as to study the nature of association between key traits for either seed or straw weight besides oil and fiber percentage. These parameters were used in order to compare the different allowed lines of flax that surpass straw, seed yields and their related traits the commercial varieties Sakha 1 and Sakha 2.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used for the present investigation consisted of 40 lines. The full details of these lines were tested by Zahana and Abo-Kaied, 2007 \{Two cycles of selection of F3 and F4 for improving both straw and seed yields by using independent culling levels selection method, resultant forty promising lines belongs to four crosses (The lines from 1:10, belongs to cross (Giza $7 \times$ S.402/3/3/10); 11:20, belongs to cross (Giza $8 \times$ Ariane); 21:30, belongs to cross (S.329/2/23/6 x S.421/43/14/10) and 31:40, belongs to cross (S.402/3/3/10 x Ariane)\} as well as the two commercial varieties, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 as check varieties.

In 2009/10 season, the 40 lines (in F6) in addition to the two commercial varieties (Sakha1 and Sakha2), were grown in Randomized
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Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates at Etay El-Baroud Exp.Sta., El-Beheira Governorate. Each block contained 42 entries. A plot size was $3.0 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and contained 10 rows, 20 cm apart and 3 m long. Plant density of 2000 seeds/m2 was used.

In 2010/11 season, 40 lines (in F7) along with the two commercial varieties were grown in the same way as that followed in F6. Plot size, row length and distances between rows were the same as F6 generation. The normal recommended agronomic practices for flax cultivation were applied in the two seasons.

At harvest, data on ten randomly guarded plants were recorded to determine the averages of the individual plant traits. Straw, seed and fiber yields/fed (fed = 4200 m 2 ) were calculated on plot basis. Oil percentage was determined as an average of two random seed samples/plot using Soxhlet apparatus (A.O.A.C. Society, 1995). The following characters were recorded: I) Straw yield and its related characters:
(1) Straw yield.(ton)/fed, (2) Straw weight (g)/plant., (3) Plant height (cm), (4)Technical stem length (cm), (5) Long fiber yield (ton)/fed and (6) Long fiber percentage (\%).
II) Seed yield and its related characters:
(1) Seed yield (ton)/fed, (2) Seed weight (g)/plant, (3) No. of capsules/plant, (4)1000-seed weight (g), (5) Oil yield (ton)/fed and (6) Oil percentage (\%). Biometrical analysis:

Data were subjected to regular analysis of variance of RCBD according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficient of variation for lines in both seasons were computed as $(\sigma p h \times 100) / \bar{x}$ and $(\sigma g \times 100) / \bar{x}$, where $\sigma p h$ is the square root of the phenotypic variance of lines, $\sigma g$ is square root of genotypic variance of lines and $\bar{x}$ is the general mean of lines and $H \%$ is the heritability in broad sense, ( $\sigma 2 \mathrm{~g} / \sigma 2 \mathrm{ph}) \times 100$ for the character being evaluated. Phenotypic correlation coefficients among all possible pairs of studied traits were computed by using the data of 40 lines in both seasons.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Variability <br> Straw yield and its related characters:

Mean square values, variance component estimates, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficient of variability and broad sense heritability ( $\mathrm{H} \%$ ) for straw yield, fiber yield, fiber percentage, straw weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons (S1 and S2) are presented in Table (1). Highly significant differences with a wide variation were detected among entries ( 40 lines and 2 check varieties, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2) and lines (40 lines) in all traits under study for both seasons except fiber percentage in second season (S2). This indicates that the genetic material used has sufficient variation, revealing the variability existed among these lines, which in turn would increase the chance to select high-yielding potential genotypes for the above mentioned traits. On
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the other hand, mean squares due to varieties (two check varieties) were non-significant for straw weight/plant and fiber percentage in both seasons as well as straw yield/fed in only the second season, indicating that these two varieties may be considered at the same behavior for these characters. Also, the lines vs. varieties were non-significant for the previous traits and plant height in the second season. Whereas, technical stem length, straw yield/fed and fiber yield/fed exhibited highly significant for the line vs. varieties, indicating that these entries differ in their genetic potential for these characters. Such variability among different flax genotypes in straw weight, plant height and technical stem length was also reported by Momtaz et al, (1990) and Zahana and Abo-Kaied (2007).

Estimates of the variance components and heritability, PCV and GCV reached maximum values for straw weight/plant, indicating the possibility to achieve further improvement by selection for this trait. The observed narrow range between PCV and GCV, which gave almost nearly similar values, especially for plant height, technical stem length and fiber percentage in both seasons, reflect the importance of selection for these traits which also gave high heritability estimates. This conclusion may be supported by evidences that yield component traits are genetically controlled. These results indicated the possibility of using these yield component traits in selection index technique with giving more weight for plant height which had high heritability ratios ( $\mathrm{S} 1=99.18$ and $\mathrm{S} 2=95.46 \%$ ) followed by technical stem length ( $\mathrm{S} 1=97.40$ and $\mathrm{S} 2=92.59 \%$ ). These results are in harmony with that reported by Abo-Kaied et al, (2008).

Mean performance for straw yield/fed, fiber yield/fed, fiber percentage, straw weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines plus the two check varieties at two successive seasons (S1 and S2) are presented in Table (2). The line No. 10 was superior for each of straw weight/plant (4.68, 4.02 g ), plant height ( $105.6,106.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and fiber percentage (18.27, 18.33\%) than general mean as well as the two check varieties, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 at both seasons (S1, S2) respectively. Also, the two lines No. 18 and No 20 exhibited high values for straw yield/fed (4.558, 4.546 and $4.355,4.044$ ton), fiber yield/fed ( $0.873,0.873$ and $0.819,0.728$ ton) and fiber percentage (19.17, 19.21 and $18.78,18.02 \%$ ) at both seasons respectively. Concerning the lines No. 34, 35 and No. 40 were superior than the other studied lines as well as the two commercial varieties, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 for straw yield/fed, fiber yield/fed and fiber percentage in most cases.

In general, the promising lines No. 18 and No. 20, which belongs to the cross (S.329/2/2/3/6 x S.421/43/14/10) and lines No. 34, No. 35 and No. 40, which belongs to the cross (S.402/3/3/10 x Ariane) may be considered good substitutes for the low yielding ones, Sakha 1 and Sakha 2 in future after evaluation in more locations before releasing as a new Egyptian flax cultivars for straw yield and fiber production.
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## Seed yield and its related characters:

Mean square values, variance components estimates, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability and broad sense heritability (H\%) for seed yield, oil yield, oil percentage, seed weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons (S1 and S2) are presented in Table (3). Mean square values showed that entries and lines displayed highly significant differences for all characters under study, indicating that the genetic material used has sufficient variation which might be useful to select for improving seed yield. Mean squares due to varieties (two check varieties) were significant for all characters studied except seed yield/fed and per plant for both seasons as well as No. of capsules/plant and oil yield/fed for only the second season (S2). Also, the lines vs. varieties were significant for most economic characters, indicating that these entries differ in their genetic potential for these characters. Such variability among different flax genotypes in oil and seed characters was also reported by Momtaz et al, (1990), Zahana and Abo-Kaied (2007) and AboKaied et al, (2008).

Regarding estimates of the variance components, heritability, phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variability exhibited high values for both seed weight/plant and No. of capsules/plant in both seasons. These results indicated that, the high range of variability might be useful in selecting lines characterized by high-yielding potential for both seed weight and No. capsules/plant in this material. On the other hand, the low or moderate of PCV and GCV values in addition to the slight discrepancy between PCV and GCV values for oil percentage and 1000-seed weight were reflected in the high heritability estimates in both seasons for these traits. Such results support the view that the expected gain from selection would be valid and that a substantial improvement for this variable could be expected by selecting superior genotypes. Similar finding regarding high coefficient of variation of 1000 -seed weight and No. of capsules/plant with high heritability estimates have reported by Frank and Hollosi (1985), Abo El-Zahab et al, (1994), Zahana and Abo-Kaied (2007) and Abo-Kaied et al, (2008).

Table (4) shows the mean performance of seed yield, oil yield, oil percentage, seed weight/plant and its components for forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons (S1 and S2). Line No. 10 recorded highest values for each of seed weight/plant ( $1.00,0.98 \mathrm{~g}$ ), 1000-seed weight ( $10.60,10.61 \mathrm{~g}$ ), seed yield/fed ( $0.772,0.739$ ton), oil yield/fed ( $0.332,0.311$ ton) and oil percentage (43.00, 42.07\%) in both seasons, respectively than the other lines as well as the two check varieties. Also, lines No. 11 for both 1000 -seed weight, seed yield/fed and oil yield/fed; No. 18 and No. 20 for each at 1000-seed weight, seed yield/fed, oil yield/fed and oil percentage; No. 31 for each number of capsules/plant, seed yield/fed and oil yield/fed; No. 34 for both oil yield/fed and oil percentage; No. 35 for each 1000 -seed weight, seed yield/fed, oil yield/fed and oil percentage and finally No. 40 for all characters under study.

Out of these previous lines which showed highest mean performance for seed yield and its components than the other studied lines as well as the two check varieties, only five lines (No. 18, 20, 34, 35, and No. 40) were superior for each seed, oil, straw and fiber yields/fed. Therefore, these five lines should be recommended as commercial varieties (as dual purpose type) and/or to be incorporated as breeding stocks in breeding program aiming at producing high yielding flax lines for both seed and straw yields.

Table 4. Mean values for Seed yield, oil yield, oil percentage, seed weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons $(S 1=2009 / 10$ and $S 2=$ 2010/11).

| Line No. | Seed weight/planr (g) |  | No.of capsules/plant |  | 1000-seed weight (g) |  | Seed yield (ton)/fed | Oil yield (ton)/fed. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Oil } \\ \text { percentage } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F6 | F7 | F6 | F7 | F6 | F7 | F6 F7 | F6 F7 | F6 | F7 |
| 1 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 11.38 | 10.06 | 9.93 | 9.80 | 0.6240 .613 | 0.2590 .252 | 41.55 | 41.13 |
| 2 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 10.03 | 9.96 | 9.43 | 8.53 | 0.5660 .548 | 0.2210 .217 | 39.15 | 39.56 |
| 3 | 0.88 | 0.82 | 10.40 | 10.06 | 10.56 | 10.51 | 0.5550 .558 | 0.2260 .227 | 40.72 | 40.79 |
| 4 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 7.16 | 8.55 | 10.14 | 9.73 | 0.6070 .644 | 0.2250 .246 | 37.05 | 38.25 |
| 5 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 12.74 | 10.93 | 10.96 | 10.93 | 0.6100 .602 | 0.2500 .247 | 41.00 | 41.00 |
| 6 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 11.09 | 10.89 | 9.05 | 8.91 | 0.5400 .497 | 0.2100 .197 | 38.94 | 39.64 |
| 7 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 11.76 | 13.97 | 10.46 | 10.37 | 0.6110 .593 | 0.2490 .239 | 40.74 | 40.23 |
| 8 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 12.83 | 12.97 | 10.10 | 9.60 | 0.6730 .698 | 0.2670 .275 | 39.62 | 39.39 |
| 9 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 8.84 | 9.55 | 10.50 | 10.83 | 0.6810 .681 | 0.2670 .261 | 39.27 | 38.28 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 12.34 | 14.37 | 10.60 | 10.61 | 0.7720 .739 | 0.3320 .311 | 43.00 | 42.07 |
| 11 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 6.59 | 11.92 | 12.06 | 10.27 | 0.7170 .738 | 0.2980 .309 | 41.60 | 41.94 |
| 12 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 8.66 | 13.33 | 10.22 | 9.98 | 0.7860 .768 | 0.3330 .322 | 42.33 | 42.00 |
| 13 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 6.27 | 7.90 | 10.99 | 10.66 | 0.6410 .629 | 0.2550 .256 | 39.80 | 40.74 |
| 14 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 7.25 | 8.73 | 11.26 | 10.79 | 0.5940 .555 | 0.2420 .227 | 40.83 | 40.90 |
| 15 | 1.06 | 0.89 | 12.28 | 12.90 | 10.15 | 10.26 | 0.6440 .670 | 0.2550 .271 | 39.62 | 40.39 |
| 16 | 0.86 | 0.76 | 12.80 | 13.20 | 9.09 | 9.16 | 0.5770 .554 | 0.2220 .210 | 38.50 | 37.94 |
| 17 | 0.61 | 0.76 | 8.36 | 10.93 | 10.32 | 9.85 | 0.6110 .615 | 0.2400 .235 | 39.33 | 38.28 |
| 18 | 0.96 | 1.10 | 11.24 | 19.78 | 11.19 | 10.62 | 0.7610 .774 | 0.3260 .328 | 42.83 | 42.39 |
| 19 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 8.38 | 12.15 | 9.72 | 8.96 | 0.6440 .627 | 0.2720 .260 | 42.24 | 41.50 |
| 20 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 9.83 | 14.14 | 10.58 | 10.54 | 0.7560 .743 | 0.3260 .318 | 43.11 | 42.83 |
| 21 | 1.04 | 0.85 | 11.17 | 11.28 | 10.51 | 10.27 | 0.6550 .648 | 0.2570 .259 | 39.29 | 40.07 |
| 22 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 9.59 | 12.50 | 12.34 | 10.05 | 0.7150 .642 | 0.2910 .263 | 40.67 | 41.06 |
| 23 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 10.31 | 11.06 | 10.62 | 9.85 | 0.6560 .611 | 0.2660 .249 | 40.61 | 40.78 |
| 24 | 0.94 | 0.82 | 10.57 | 9.90 | 10.37 | 10.33 | 0.7020 .644 | 0.2870 .269 | 40.90 | 41.79 |
| 25 | 1.06 | 0.88 | 10.99 | 10.74 | 11.51 | 10.83 | 0.6610 .616 | 0.2700 .252 | 40.93 | 40.86 |
| 26 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 7.92 | 9.40 | 11.23 | 10.11 | 0.6160 .583 | 0.2640 .245 | 42.84 | 42.11 |
| 27 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 9.54 | 10.90 | 11.92 | 11.03 | 0.6810 .670 | 0.2840 .273 | 41.73 | 40.75 |
| 28 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 6.90 | 9.76 | 11.08 | 10.65 | 0.6810 .670 | 0.2790 .275 | 40.96 | 41.01 |
| 29 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 10.93 | 12.54 | 10.70 | 10.66 | 0.5960 .598 | 0.2470 .242 | 41.45 | 40.52 |
| 30 | 0.60 | 0.86 | 9.44 | 12.57 | 7.60 | 10.16 | 0.6410 .678 | 0.2760 .289 | 43.11 | 42.66 |
| 31 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 11.67 | 16.63 | 7.81 | 7.97 | 0.7510 .773 | 0.3140 .320 | 41.88 | 41.46 |
| 32 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 11.70 | 18.32 | 7.45 | 8.28 | 0.6360 .665 | 0.2630 .275 | 41.36 | 41.39 |
| 33 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 11.71 | 18.41 | 7.85 | 7.94 | 0.6830 .723 | 0.2740 .294 | 40.06 | 40.66 |
| 34 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 11.20 | 12.45 | 7.78 | 10.17 | 0.7830 .768 | 0.3360 .330 | 42.97 | 43.03 |
| 35 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 11.06 | 15.10 | 11.34 | 10.47 | 0.7890 .786 | 0.3340 .333 | 42.39 | 42.33 |
| 36 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 13.05 | 17.50 | 9.18 | 8.68 | 0.7070 .727 | 0.2720 .278 | 38.44 | 38.27 |
| 37 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 10.02 | 17.25 | 7.53 | 7.58 | 0.6940 .702 | 0.2760 .287 | 39.78 | 40.89 |
| 38 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 10.24 | 19.13 | 7.76 | 7.89 | 0.7020 .747 | 0.2830 .306 | 40.23 | 40.93 |
| 39 | 0.88 | 0.79 | 11.24 | 16.31 | 8.80 | 9.01 | 0.6690 .669 | 0.2720 .274 | 40.73 | 41.01 |
| 40 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 13.26 | 16.85 | 11.26 | 11.20 | 0.7750 .765 | 0.3320 .325 | 42.85 | 42.47 |
| Mean | 0.80 | 0.82 | 10.32 | 12.87 | 10.05 | 9.85 | 0.6690 .663 | 0.2740 .271 | 40.86 | 40.83 |
| LSD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 3.08 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.0460 .027 | 0.0190 .014 | 0.88 | 0.86 |
| Sakha1 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 11.32 | 12.36 | 8.58 | 8.70 | 0.6160 .626 | 0.2470 .251 | 40.17 | 40.17 |
| Sakha2 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 12.87 | 12.78 | 9.49 | 9.58 | 0.6520 .641 | 0.2690 .265 | 41.32 | 41.32 |

## Correlation studies:

Phenotypic correlation coefficients among straw, seed weight per plant and their components as well as some technological characters (fiber percentage and oil percentage) of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons (S1 and S2) are presented in Table (5). Straw weight/plant was highly significant positive correlated with each of plant height, technical stem length, No. of capsules/plant and 1000-seed weight in both seasons (S1 and S2). Also, plant height exhibited positive correlation with technical stem length in both seasons, indicating that maximization of straw weight/plant may be obtained by selection for these component variables specially plant height and technical stem length. These results are in harmony with that reported by Abo El-Zahab et al, (1994) and Abo-kaied et al, (2006). Seed weight per plant, exhibited positive association with oil percentage in both seasons as well as was positive correlation with each of No. of capsules/plant, 1000-seed weight, in only second season (S2). Whereas, number of capsules/plant was highly positive correlated with 1000seed weight, indicating the possibility of selection for a genotype as dual purpose type which had high seed weight and high straw components (plant height and technical stem length). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abo El-Zahab et al, (1994) and Abo-kaied et al, (2006).
Table5. Phenotypic correlation coefficient among straw, seed weight/plant and their components as well as some technological traits of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons (2009/10 and 2010/11).

| Characters |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Straw weight / plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2- Plant height (cm) | S1 S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.736^{* *} \\ & 0.629^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3- Technical stem length (cm) | S1 S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.521^{* *} \\ & 0.470^{\star *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0.918^{\star *} \\ 0.910^{\star *} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4- Seed weight/ plant (cm) | S1 S2 | $\begin{gathered} 0.311 \\ 0.446^{\star *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 0.189 \\ 0.524^{* *} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.125 \\ 0.420^{* *} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5- No. of capsules / plant | S1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.435^{* *} \\ & 0.588^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.014 \\ & 0.262 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline-0.114 \\ 0.174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 0.099 \\ 0.483^{\star *} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 6-1000-seed weight (g) | S1 S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.638** } \\ & 0616^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} 0.355^{*} \\ 0.752^{\star *} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.135 \\ 0.659^{* *} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0.068 \\ 0.485^{\star *} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|} 0.736^{* *} \\ 0.558^{* *} \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
| 7- Fiber percentage (\%) | S1 S2 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.396^{*} \\ & -0.161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline-0.543^{\star \star} \\ -0.446 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-0.345^{*} \\ -0.363^{*} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline-0.133 \\ 0.098 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.277 \\ & 0.266 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-0.296 \\ -0.503 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 8- Oil percentage (\%) | S1 S2 | 0.286 0.265 | 0.143 0.263 | 0.093 0.216 | 0.548** | 0.150 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.021 \\ & 0.233 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.131 \\ 0.230 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

*,**=Indicate significance at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
$S 1=2009 / 10 \quad S 2=2010 / 11$
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# دراسة مقارنة للمحصول ومكوناته لبعض سلالات الكتان مع الصنفين التجاريين سخا وسخا ور <br> أماني محمد محي الاين الرفاعى، الايب ابراهيم الديب و حصين مصطفي حصين أبوقايد قسم بحوث محاصيل الألياف ـ معها بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية ـ مركز البحوثٌ الزراعيةـ الجيزة 

 ( . . . البحوث الزرراعية بايتاي البارود- م البحيرة، وذلك لمقارنة محصول كل من القش و والبذور والزيت والصفات المرتبطـة بهم لهذه السـلالات مـع الصنفين التجاريين سـا (، سـخا ؟. وكـان التصـيم المستخذم هو فطاعات كاملة العشو ائية ذات الثلاث مكررات
تثـير النتـائج إلـى معنويـة التبـاين الخـاص بالسـلالات لكل مـن محصـول القش والبـنـور
ومكونانتهما وكنلك كل الصفات التكنولوجية المدروسة (النسبة المئوية للألياف والنسبة المئوية للزيت ) في الموسمين. كما تثبير النتائج إلـى تقارب قيم تقـيرات معاملي الاختلاف الظـاهري والوراثي لمكوني محصول القشن (الطول الكلي والطول الفعـل) بالإضـافة إلـي النسبة المئوية للأليان وكذللك
 الصفات السابقة لكلا الموسمين. وذلك يشير إلـي إمكانية استخذام مكونـات المحصول سالفة الذكر كدلالل انتخابية لتحسين محصولي القش والبذور.

) تفوقت في محصول القتش والبذور والألياف والزيت للفدان . لذلك هذه السلالات الخمس يمكن أن تحل محل الصنفين التجاريين (سخا ا، وسخاب) المنخفين عنها في المحصول، وذلك بعد تقيبيم تلك السلالات في عدد أكبر من المو اقع والسنوات قبل إطلاقهـا كأصناف كــان مصرية تزر ع لكل من محصولي التش والبذور (كأصناف ثنائية الغرض).
أُثنارت نتائج الارتباط الظاهري أن وزن القش للأبات أظهر ارتباط موجب ومعنوي مع كل
من الطول الكلي والطول الفعال وعدد الكبسو لات لللنبات ووزن الألف بذرة في كلا الموسمين. كذللك هناك ارتباط موجب ومعنوي بين الطول الكلي والطول الفعال في كلا الموسمين، وهذا بشير إلي



 اللبور بالإضافة إلى تميز ها في الطول الكلي والطول الفعال و وهما أهم مكونين من مكونات محصول التش.
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Table 1. Mean square values, variance component estimates, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability and broad sense heritability ( $\mathrm{H} \%$ ) for Straw yield, fiber yield, fiber percentage, straw weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons(2009/10 and 2010/11).

| Characters | S.O.V. |  |  |  |  | Variance components and some genetic parameters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Entries } \\ & \text { (E)(41)\# } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lines } \\ (\mathrm{F})(39) \text { \# } \end{gathered}$ | Varieties $(\mathrm{V})(1) \text { \# }$ | L. vs. V. <br> (1) | Error (84) \# | $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{ph}$ | $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~g}$ | $\sigma^{2} e$ | PCV\% | GCV\% | H\% |
| Straw yield, fiber yield, fiber percentage, straw weight/plant and its components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Straw weight/plant (g) S1 | 2.405 ** | 2.525 ** | 0.082 ns | 0.082 ns | 0.064 | 0.842 | 0.820 | 0.064 | 32.952 | 32.530 | 97.45 |
|  | 1.47 ** | $1.541^{* *}$ | 0.045 ns | 0.152 ns | 0.061 | 0.514 | 0.493 | 0.061 | 26.610 | 26.075 | 96.02 |
| Plant height (cm) S1 | 525.929 ** | 536.225 ** | 516.896 ** | 133.423 ** | 4.398 | 178.742 | 177.276 | 4.398 | 13.760 | 13.703 | 99.18 |
|  | 281.422 ** | 292.32 ** | 131.695 ** | 6.142 ns | 13.259 | 97.440 | 93.020 | 13.259 | 9.936 | 9.708 | 95.46 |
| Technical stem length (cm) S1 S2 | 317.237 ** | 313.597 ** | 223.993 ** | 552.446 ** | 8.143 | 104.532 | 101.818 | 8.143 | 13.463 | 13.287 | 97.40 |
|  | 242.593 ** | 225.772 ** | $404.917^{* *}$ | $736.291^{* *}$ | 16.724 | 75.257 | 69.683 | 16.724 | 10.731 | 10.326 | 92.59 |
| Straw yield (ton)/fed | 0.652 ** | 0.636 ** | 0.68 ** | 1.25 ** | 0.075 | 0.212 | 0.187 | 0.075 | 11.452 | 10.755 | 88.20 |
|  | 0.874 ** | 0.868 ** | 0.29 ns | 1.688 ** | 0.012 | 0.289 | 0.285 | 0.012 | 13.513 | 13.419 | 98.62 |
| Fiber yield (ton)/fed | 0.038 ** | 0.039 ** | 0.023 ** | 0.041 ** | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 16.947 | 16.418 | 93.85 |
|  | 0.040 ** | 0.041 ** | 0.010 ** | 0.050 ** | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 17.698 | 17.582 | 98.69 |
| Fiber percentage (\%) | 4.63 ** | 4.859 ** | 0.220 ns | 0.132 ns | 0.113 | 1.620 | 1.582 | 0.113 | 7.680 | 7.590 | 97.67 |
|  | 3.977 | 4.176 | 0.150 ns | 0.028 ns | 0.218 | 1.392 | 1.319 | 0.218 | 7.141 | 6.952 | 94.78 |

*,** $=$ Indicate significance at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
\# = Values designated the corresponding degrees of freedom.
$\sigma^{2} p h, \sigma^{2} g, \sigma^{2} e$ : Phenotypic, genotypic, plot error variances, respectively.
S1= season 2009/10
S2= season 2010/11

Table 2. Mean values for straw yield/fed, straw weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data

| LineNo. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straw } \\ \text { weight/plant (g) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Plant height (cm) |  | Technical stem length$(\mathrm{cm})$ |  | Straw yield (ton)/fed |  | Fiber yield (ton)/fed |  | Fiber percentage (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | S2 | S1 | S2 | S1 | S2 | S1 | S2 | S1 | S2 | S1 | S2 |
| 1 | 1.48 | 1.40 | 83.90 | 91.20 | 68.40 | 74.80 | 4.227 | 4.182 | 0.658 | 0.661 | 15.56 | 15.80 |
| 2 | 1.93 | 1.64 | 85.90 | 92.25 | 68.50 | 73.05 | 3.719 | 3.609 | 0.601 | 0.604 | 16.18 | 16.73 |
| 3 | 3.12 | 2.72 | 90.70 | 91.85 | 69.60 | 71.15 | 3.781 | 3.397 | 0.568 | 0.510 | 15.05 | 15.02 |
| 4 | 2.11 | 1.90 | 88.10 | 91.10 | 72.20 | 76.30 | 3.535 | 3.339 | 0.582 | 0.510 | 16.46 | 15.26 |
| 5 | 3.00 | 3.10 | 86.50 | 92.32 | 63.00 | 71.82 | 3.370 | 3.332 | 0.492 | 0.513 | 14.60 | 15.40 |
| ${ }_{7}$ | 2.15 | 2.42 | 84.40 | 91.83 | 61.90 | 66.93 | 3.665 | 3.315 | 0.596 | 0.532 | 16.27 | 16.07 |
| 7 | 2.77 | 2.43 | 98.10 | 94.68 | 77.50 | 76.18 | 3.932 | 4.173 | 0.618 | 0.627 | 15.73 | 15.03 |
| 8 | 3.39 | 2.95 | 104.50 | 101.50 | 81.00 | 81.60 | 4.051 | 4.191 | 0.608 | 0.696 | 15.00 | 16.63 |
| 9 | 1.76 | 1.64 | 82.90 | 90.83 | 66.40 | 74.63 | 3.780 | 3.744 | 0.623 | 0.577 | 16.48 | 15.43 |
| 10 | 4.68 | 4.02 | 105.60 | 106.60 | 80.60 | 85.70 | 3.964 | 3.509 | 0.723 | 0.643 | 18.27 | 18.33 |
| 11 | 1.91 | 2.01 | 92.20 | 93.65 | 76.60 | 79.15 | 3.995 | 3.808 | 0.643 | 0.603 | 16.06 | 15.82 |
| 12 | 2.12 | 2.48 | 84.30 | 103.62 | 70.50 | 80.54 | 3.993 | 3.174 | 0.757 | 0.587 | 19.00 | 18.51 |
| 13 | 1.78 | 1.83 | 91.90 | 93.21 | 79.00 | 79.81 | 3.870 | 3.865 | 0.611 | 0.620 | 15.79 | 16.06 |
| 14 | 1.83 | 1.78 | 99.30 | 104.10 | 83.60 | 87.30 | 3.442 | 3.340 | 0.555 | 0.550 | 16.12 | 16.47 |
| 15 | 2.25 | 2.56 | 86.30 | 92.73 | 63.60 | 73.42 | 3.755 | 3.659 | 0.638 | 0.614 | 17.00 | 16.79 |
| 16 | 2.82 | 3.31 | 90.40 | 93.82 | 63.90 | 72.92 | 3.531 | 3.355 | 0.559 | 0.530 | 15.83 | 15.80 |
| 17 | 1.83 | 2.21 | 92.70 | 93.92 | 76.20 | 77.17 | 3.805 | 3.908 | 0.593 | 0.584 | 15.60 | 14.95 |
| 18 | 3.19 | 2.83 | 97.60 | 101.60 | 76.00 | 82.00 | 4.558 | 4.546 | 0.873 | 0.873 | 19.17 | 19.21 |
| 19 | 1.34 | 1.65 | 83.10 | 90.12 | 67.80 | 74.72 | 3.573 | 3.186 | 0.602 | 0.532 | 16.85 | 16.70 |
| 20 | 2.29 | 2.26 | 91.20 | 100.05 | 70.60 | 82.35 | 4.355 | 4.044 | 0.819 | 0.728 | 18.78 | 18.02 |
| 21 | 3.01 | 2.75 | 91.40 | 93.22 | 68.20 | 75.50 | 3.432 | 3.620 | 0.564 | 0.570 | 16.42 | 15.79 |
| 22 | 2.15 | 2.43 | 92.50 | 95.60 | 76.30 | 80.54 | 3.848 | 3.749 | 0.614 | 0.583 | 15.97 | 15.53 |
| 23 | 2.81 | 2.84 | 87.00 | 90.28 | 69.30 | 74.85 | 4.115 | 4.507 | 0.670 | 0.722 | 16.28 | 16.03 |
| 24 | 2.41 | 2.48 | 82.70 | 88.50 | 58.40 | 70.07 | 4.162 | 4.333 | 0.652 | 0.646 | 15.68 | 14.89 |
| 25 | 2.75 | 2.66 | 91.70 | 91.94 | 76.50 | 77.16 | 3.565 | 3.781 | 0.563 | 0.610 | 15.78 | 16.13 |
| 26 | 2.53 | 2.45 | 90.30 | 92.81 | 70.90 | 76.76 | 3.439 | 3.339 | 0.512 | 0.533 | 14.92 | 15.96 |
| 27 | 2.88 | 2.99 | 86.90 | 89.68 | 67.10 | 72.38 | 4.047 | 4.236 | 0.658 | 0.689 | 16.27 | 16.28 |
| 28 | 1.40 | 1.64 | 81.50 | 85.62 | 66.70 | 71.47 | 3.886 | 4.171 | 0.632 | 0.672 | 16.29 | 16.11 |
| 29 | 2.84 | 2.67 | 114.30 | 94.13 | 95.20 | 91.43 | 3.382 | 3.557 | 0.527 | 0.578 | 15.59 | 16.24 |
| 30 | 3.00 | 3.28 | 95.85 | 109.40 | 71.20 | 83.40 | 3.683 | 3.603 | 0.705 | 0.640 | 19.12 | 17.81 |
| 31 | 4.18 | 3.62 | 124.10 | 107.40 | 88.00 | 86.70 | 4.550 | 4.775 | 0.743 | 0.777 | 16.33 | 16.28 |
| 32 | 4.48 | 4.01 | 102.70 | 103.75 | 76.75 | 79.45 | 4.825 | 4.602 | 0.797 | 0.771 | 16.53 | 16.76 |
| 33 | 2.77 | 2.98 | 114.50 | 113.65 | 87.80 | 91.30 | 4.495 | 4.657 | 0.727 | 0.742 | 16.18 | 15.94 |
| 34 | 5.02 | 3.90 | 131.40 | 109.40 | 92.25 | 87.25 | 4.935 | 4.890 | 0.951 | 0.921 | 19.27 | 18.83 |
| 35 | 3.57 | 3.63 | 108.90 | 111.45 | 90.00 | 92.35 | 4.831 | 4.915 | 0.905 | 0.928 | 18.74 | 18.89 |
| 36 | 3.77 | 3.51 | 113.70 | 115.45 | 92.50 | 95.05 | 4.280 | 4.349 | 0.689 | 0.732 | 16.09 | 16.85 |
| 37 | 3.26 | 2.97 | 120.30 | 120.70 | 94.00 | 100.30 | 4.459 | 4.314 | 0.734 | 0.719 | 16.46 | 16.66 |
| 38 | 2.78 | 2.55 | 114.80 | 113.20 | 91.10 | 95.00 | 4.676 | 4.577 | 0.758 | 0.760 | 16.22 | 16.61 |
| 39 | 4.25 | 3.70 | 124.00 | 124.20 | 94.30 | 103.35 | 4.341 | 4.619 | 0.707 | 0.755 | 16.29 | 16.35 |
| 40 | 3.83 | 3.61 | 98.40 | 112.60 | 74.30 | 87.90 | 5.009 | 4.941 | 0.935 | 0.938 | 18.66 | 18.99 |
| Mean | 2.78 | 2.69 | 97.16 | 99.35 | 75.94 | 80.84 | 4.021 | 3.980 | 0.669 | 0.660 | 16.57 | 16.52 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 3.39 | 5.89 | 4.61 | 6.61 | 0.443 | 0.177 | 0.079 | 0.037 | 0.54 | 0.75 |
| Sakha1 | 2.78 | 2.62 | 101.61 | 103.00 | 72.22 | 77.71 | 3.890 | 3.656 | 0.646 | 0.607 | 16.61 | 16.61 |
| Sakha2 | 2.55 | 2.44 | 83.05 | 93.63 | 60.00 | 61.28 | 3.217 | 3.217 | 0.522 | 0.524 | 16.23 | 16.29 |

The liness from 1:10 = belong to cross (Giza $7 \times$ S.402/3/3/10), 11:20=belong to cross (Giza $8 \times$ Ariane) ,
$21: 30=$ belong to cross ( $\mathrm{S} .329 / 2 / 23 / 6 \times \mathrm{S} .421 / 43 / 14 / 10$ ) and $31: 40=$ belong to cross (S.402/3/3/10 x Ariane).

Table 3. Mean square values, variance component estimates, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability and broad sense heritability ( $\mathrm{H} \%$ ) for Seed yield, oil yield, oil percentage, seed weight/plant and its components of forty flax lines based on data of two successive seasons(2009/10 and 2010/11).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Variance components and some genetic parameters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  | Entries (E)(41)\# | Lines (F) (39) \# | Varieties (V)(1) \# | L. vs. V. <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Error } \\ & \text { (84) } \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{ph}$ | $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{~g}$ | $\sigma^{2} \mathrm{e}$ | PCV\% | GCV\% | H\% |
| Seed yield, oil yield, oil percentage, seed weight/plant and its components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed weight /plant (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~S} 2 \end{aligned}$ | 0.072 ** | 0.075 ** | 0.010 ns | 0.012 ns | 0.003 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.003 | 19.645 | 19.186 | 95.38 |
|  |  | 0.037 ** | 0.039 ** | 0.009 ns | 0.001 ns | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 13.894 | 12.653 | 82.92 |
| No. of capsules/plan | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~S} 2 \end{aligned}$ | 10.656 ** | 10.649 ** | 3.593 ** | 18.024 ** | 0.384 | 3.550 | 3.422 | 0.384 | 18.257 | 17.925 | 96.40 |
|  |  | 28.850 ** | $30.310^{* *}$ | 0.253 ns | 0.519 ns | 3.635 | 10.103 | 8.892 | 3.635 | 24.694 | 23.167 | 88.09 |
| 1000-seed weight | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { S1 } \\ & \text { S2 } \end{aligned}$ | $5.407^{* *}$ | 5.502 ** | 1.233 ** | 5.889 ** | 0.091 | 1.834 | 1.804 | 0.091 | 13.477 | 13.365 | 98.34 |
|  |  | $2.851^{* *}$ | 2.893 ** | 1.153 ** | 2.900 ** | 0.057 | 0.964 | 0.945 | 0.057 | 9.969 | 9.870 | 98.02 |
| Seed yield (ton)/fed | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~S} 1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.013 ** | 0.014 ** | 0.002 ns | 0.007 ** | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 10.106 | 9.807 | 94.18 |
|  |  | 0.016 ** | $0.017^{\text {** }}$ | 0.001 ns | 0.005 * | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 11.231 | 11.135 | 98.30 |
| Oil yield (ton)/fed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2 } \\ & \text { S2 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.003 ** | 0.004 ** | $0.001^{*}$ | $0.001^{* *}$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 12.524 | 12.270 | 95.97 |
|  |  | 0.004 ** | 0.004 ** | 0.001 ns | 0.001 * | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 13.083 | 12.959 | 98.11 |
| Oil percentage (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~S} 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6.426 ** | 6.702 ** | 1.995 * | 0.076 ns | 0.298 | 2.234 | 2.135 | 0.298 | 3.658 | 3.576 | 95.56 |
|  |  | 5.071 ** | 5.279 ** | 1.995 * | 0.043 ns | 0.283 | 1.760 | 1.666 | 0.283 | 3.249 | 3.161 | 94.65 |

For explanation see Table 1.

